

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/653,408	08/31/2000	Jean-Charles Mercier	Q60439	5345
75	90 03/24/2004	EXAMINER		
	Zinn MacPeak & Seas	GONZALEZ, JULIO C		
2100 Pennsylva Washington, De		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<b>g</b> , _			2834	
		DATE MAIL ED: 03/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-								
	- "	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/653,40	08	MERCIER ET AL.	Nu /			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Julio C. G		2834				
Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this communica Reply	ition appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS FOR THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS FOR TH	ATION.  7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever cation.  lays, a reply within the stat ory period will apply and w l, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status								
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>06 January 200</u>	<u>4</u> .					
2a)	nis action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	N This action is n	on-final.					
•	, <del></del>							
Disposition	of Claims							
4a 5)	aim(s) 1-3 and 5-7 is/are pending in ) Of the above claim(s) is/are aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) 1-3 and 5-7 is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co						
Application	Papers							
9)∐ Th	e specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
10)∐ Th	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	)□ accepted or b)	$\square$ objected to by the ${ t E}$	Examiner.				
Ar	oplicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including th e oath or declaration is objected to b	•	• , ,		` '			
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) 1. 2. 3.	knowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority do  Certified copies of the priority do  Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationals the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the attached de	ocuments have bee ocuments have bee the priority docume Il Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National St	age			
Attachment(s)								
	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) Informat	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT o(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		52)			

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sperry in view of Utsunomiya et al (US 4,442,371).

Sperry discloses a wind power generator pod having a rigid fairing 1 in which generators 4 and 5 are disposed, a wind propeller 9 and the stator of the generators 4 and 5 contact the fairing 1 (see figure 1). Moreover, Sperry discloses that the pod have change direction or swivel at the end of mast 14 (see lines 92-94, 100-103 & figures 1, 3, 9).

However, Sperry does not disclose that the stator has lateral openings extending through the stator.

On the other hand, Utsunomiya et al discloses for the purpose of improving the construction of a cooling ventilation flue for a stator, an electrical machine having lateral openings 4, 5 extending through the stator 2 (see figure 2).

Application/Control Number: 09/653,408

Art Unit: 2834

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design a wind power generator as disclosed by Sperry and to modify the invention by having a stator with lateral openings for the purpose of improving the construction of a cooling ventilation flue for a stator as disclosed by Utsunomiya et al.

3. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sperry and Utsunomiya et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Carter, Jr. et al.

The combined wind power generator discloses all of the elements above.

However, the combined wind generator does not disclose having a gearbox.

On the other hand, Carter, Jr. et al discloses for the purpose of obtaining maximum benefit from a wind driven generator, a wind-power generator (see figures 1 and 2) in which a generator 34 is inside a pod and coupled to a propeller 14 and the generator 34 is coupled to a gearbox 36 inside the pod.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the combined wind power generator as disclosed above and to modify the invention by attaching a gear to the generator for the Application/Control Number: 09/653,408

Art Unit: 2834

purpose of obtaining maximum benefit from a wind driven generator as disclosed by Carter, Jr. et al.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 4. Sperry and Utsunomiya et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Benoit.

The combined wind power generator discloses all of the elements above. However, the combined wind power generator does not disclose using a tubular sleeve around the pod.

On the other hand Benoit discloses for the purpose of taking advantage of high wind speeds thus improving efficiency of the wind power generator that the pod 58 is surrounded by a tubular sleeve forming an annular air passage along the pod (see figures 2 and 3).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to design the combined wind generator as disclosed above and to make a tubular sleeve surrounding the pod for the purpose of taking advantage of high wind speeds thus improving efficiency of the wind power generator as disclosed by Benoit.

Application/Control Number: 09/653,408

Art Unit: 2834

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sperry and Utsunomiya et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Rao.

The combined wind power generator discloses all of the elements above.

However, the combined wind power generator does not disclose that a stator may be attached to a fairing by interference fit.

On the other hand, Rao discloses for the purpose of increasing the efficiency of the generator assembly and keeping the generator laminations firmly in place during generation operation, a stator 15, which is assembled into the housing 13 with an interference fit (column 4, lines 30-31 & see figure 2).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to design the combined wind generator as disclosed above and to have an interference fit between the stator and housing for the purpose of increasing the efficiency of the generator assembly and keeping the generator laminations firmly in place during generation operation as disclosed by Rao.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2834

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julio C. Gonzalez whose telephone number is 571-272-2024. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8AM-5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jcg

RAN NOUYEN